U.S. DIST	TRICT (OURT
DISTRICT	OF VE	ใหญ่พร
	- ' ' '	

FORM TO BE USED	BY A PRISONER IN FILING A	CIVIL RIGHTS	COMPLAINT

2021 MAY 24 AM 9: 09

IN THE UNITED S	STATES	DISTRICT	COURT
ድለው ጥ፲ቱ · ·			

FOR THE	CLERK .
•	IAN/
(1) Jamal Hall 12296-082	DEPRITY CLERK
(Name of Plaintiff) (Inmate Number)	
FCI Allenwood - medium P.O. Box 2000 White Deck, PA 17887 (Address)	: :
(2)	
(Name of Plaintiff) (Inmate Number)	(Case Number)
(Address)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
(Each named party must be numbered, and all names must be printed or typed)	•
Vs.	: CIVIL COMPLAINT
(1) Vermont State Police	: • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
(2) Rutland Police Department	: :
(3)	:
(Names of Defendants)	:
(Each named party must be numbered,	: :
and all names must be printed or typed)	:
	U.S.C. § 1983 - STATE OFFICIALS
28 U	S.C. § 1331 - FEDERAL OFFICIALS
I. PREVIOUS LAWSUITS	
	eral court while a prisoner, please list the caption and case ne of the judicial officer to whom it was assigned:
MA	
	<u> </u>

II.	EXH	AUSTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES	
	In ore	der to proceed in federal court, you must fully exhaust any available administrative remedies a ground on which you request action.	1
	A.	Is there a prisoner grievance procedure available at your present institution?YesNo	
	В.	Have you fully exhausted your available administrative remedies regarding each of your present claims?YesNo	
	C.	If your answer to "B" is Yes:	
·		1. What steps did you take?	
.		2. What was the result?	. •
	•	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	D.	If your answer to "B" is No, explain why not:	
	•	•	
m.		ENDANTS ame of first defendant: Veemont State Police	
		ailing address: Waterbury VT 05671-1300	
	(2) N	ame of second defendant: But land City Police Department	
	M:	at 108 Wales st ailing address: Rulland, VT 05701	
	En	ame of third defendant:atat	
		(List any additional defendants, their employment, and addresses on extra sheets if necessary)	
IV.	STATE	MENT OF CLAIM	
da	tes and	e as briefly as possible the facts of your case. Describe how each defendant is involved, including places. Do not give any legal arguments or cite any cases or statutes. Attach no more than three f necessary.)	
	1.	Rutiand, veemont Police Department (Herrinafter)	
		("RPD"). On 08-02-18, officer R. Ashe's and others	
		upon detention and agreet of plaintiff used	<i>!</i> .
		/A / /A //A	•

excessive	force	bu	سمير	of	"TASER"	Electronic
• •						

- 2. Control Device ('ECD'); Shot multiple times at plaintiff and at close Range. Shot excessive shots into plaintiffs body causing permanent nerve damage To plaintiff, The RPD and other law enforcement
- 2. Priscally assaulted plaintiff and used deengatory lacial remarks to plaintiff while engaging in the assault by prying plaintiff legs apart to do a rection.

 Search. Dlaintiffs 14 of amendment to the const
 (continuation)

V. RELIEF

(State briefly exactly what you want the court to do for you. Make no legal arguments. Cite no cases or statutes.)

- 1. Plaintiff with to proceed to text. Plaintiff is Secring substancial amounts of compensation due to his injuries.
- 2. Plaintiff also request that the court would order the clerk of court to send plaintiff a application.

 For "pro Bono" appointment of Coursel die to the severity of the solution the plaintiff is under
- 3. De to the could-19 person Lock-down.

itution was violated by the RPD. The Rutland County police Department has the responsibility of the training and compliance with the laws, and Regulation of its employee's. . State trooper of VT. Police Department is responsible for the tearning of its employee's. Vermont state trooper "Christophor Loyzelle" and others reemont state Teoper violated the constitutional Rights of this plaintiff Vermont State treopers violated the 4th Amendment to the Constitution when they Participated in the use of excessive force by way of using a "taser"- a Electronic control Device (ECD). The Vermont State troupel Department on 08-02-18, also used excessive force by participating in a physical assoult by forcing, Grabing, plying my leg's apart to due a "Rectum" search. The Voemont State police Department used pretextual taches based on

discriminatory practices in violation of the 14th Amendment
to the constitution, Officer Christopher Loyzelle and others
used his Deug took force experience to discriminatory use my
color (African American) sex (more) and Geographical area
(New York City) to usiner the violent assault upon me by
Looking in my electron for daugs that were not part of the
investigation of incident,

-	(a)	If the answer is "yes," are you now seeking relief because you are under imminent danger of serious physical injury? Yes No
	(b)	Please explain in detail why you are under imminent danger of serious physical injury:
		N/M
	-	
_		•
		- · ··
4.	(a)	Are you presently employed at the Institution? Yes No
•	(b)	If yes, what is your monthly compensation? \$
5. •	rece	you own any cash or other property; have a bank account; or eive money from any source? Yes No the answer is "yes" to any of the above, describe each source and amount involved.
		NI A
	certi	fy under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and
Ex	ecute	ed on 04-1-2021 Jack Hall (Signature of Plaintiff)
Th	is ce	ertification is executed pursuant to Title 28, United States Code,

Section 1746.